

LGIP review checklist

Approved form MGR5.1 under the Planning Act 2016

Review principles:

- A reference in the checklist to the LGIP is taken to include a relevant reference to the *Planning Act 2016* and chapter 5 of the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.
- Terms in this checklist that are defined in the *Planning Act 2016* or the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.

The checklist must not be taken to cover all requirements of the *Planning Act 2016* and the Minister's Guidelines and Rules. Local governments must still have regard to the requirements as set out in the *Planning Act 2016* and the Minister's Guidelines and Rules when preparing or amending an LGIP.

Local government infrastructure plan (LGIP) checklist				To be completed by local government		To be completed by appointed reviewer			
LGIP outcome	LGIP component	Number	Requirement	Requirement met (yes/no)	Local government comments	Compliant (yes/no)	Justification	Corrective action description	Recommendation
The LGIP is consistent with the legislation for LGIPs and the Minister's Guidelines and Rules	All	1.	The LGIP sections are ordered in accordance with the LGIP template.	YES					
		2.	The LGIP sections are correctly located in the planning scheme.	YES					
		3.	The content and text complies with the mandatory components of the LGIP template.	YES					
		4.	Text references to numbered paragraphs, tables and maps are correct.	YES					
	Definitions	5.	Additional definitions do not conflict with statutory requirements.	YES	There are no additional definitions				
	Preliminary section	6.	The drafting of the Preliminary section is consistent with the LGIP template.	YES					
		7.	All five trunk networks are included in the LGIP. (If not, which of the networks are excluded and why have they been excluded?)	YES	All five trunk networks are included, however no additional trunk stormwater infrastructure is planned for the LGA. This remains in keeping with the previous LGIP and SoW.				
	Planning assumptions - structure	8.	The drafting of the Planning assumptions section is consistent with the LGIP template.	YES					
		9.	All the projection areas listed in the tables of projections are shown on the relevant maps and vice versa.	YES					
		10.	All the service catchments listed in the tables of projected infrastructure demand are identified on the relevant plans for trunk infrastructure (PFTI) maps and vice versa.	YES					
	Planning assumptions - methodology	11.	The population and dwelling projections are based on those prepared by the Queensland Government Statistician (as available at the time of preparation) and refined to reflect development trends in the local government area.	YES	The population and dwelling projections have been derived from the recent LGIP which was based on 2016 ABS data. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report (Cardno, 2020)</i> in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		12.	The employment and non-residential development projections align with the available economic development studies,	YES	The employment and non-residential development projections have been derived from the recent				

			other reports about employment or historical rates for the area.		LGIP, and are based on historical employment rates and trends for the LGA. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		13.	The developable area excludes all areas affected by absolute constraints such as steep slopes, conservation and flooding.	YES	A proportion of zoned land (15%) has been assumed to be undevelopable due to constraints and provision of required services. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		14.	The planned densities reflect realistic levels and types of development having regard to the planning scheme provisions and current development trends.	YES	Planned densities are based on the densities of the new planning scheme, tempered with an appreciation of historical development take-up rates. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		15.	The planned densities account for land required for local roads and other infrastructure.	YES	A proportion of zoned land (15%) has been assumed to be undevelopable due to constraints and provision of required services. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		16.	The population and employment projection tables identify “ultimate development” in accordance with the defined term.	YES	Ultimate development has been calculated for all zoned land in the LGA at build-out. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
		17.	Based on the information in the projection tables and other available material, it is possible to verify the remaining capacity to accommodate growth, for each projection area.	YES	Capacity is able to be calculate by subtracting existing development from ultimate development in each catchment.				
		18.	The determination of planning assumptions about the type, scale, timing and location of development, reflect an efficient, sequential pattern of development.	YES	The type, scale and timing of development is based on the strategic direction and development provisions of the planning scheme.				
		19.	The relevant state agency for transport matters and the distributor-retailer responsible for providing water and wastewater services for the area (if	YES	DTMR has reviewed the draft interim LGIP and have no requirements.				

		applicable), has been consulted in the preparation of the LGIP (What was the outcome of the consultation?)						
Planning assumptions - demand	20.	The infrastructure demand projections are based on the projections of population and employment growth.	YES					
	21.	The infrastructure units of demand align with those identified in the Minister's Guidelines and Rules, or where alternative demand units are used, their numerical relationship to the standard units of demand is identified and explained.	YES					
	22.	The demand generation rates align with accepted rates and/or historical data.	YES	Demand generation rates have been brought forward from the earlier LGIP as they remain recent and valid. Refer to the <i>LGIP Review Report</i> (Cardno, 2020) in the extrinsic material for further detail.				
	23.	The service catchments used for infrastructure demand projections are identified on relevant PFTI maps and demand tables.	YES					
	24.	The service catchments for each network cover, at a minimum, the urban areas, and enable urban development costs to be compared.	YES					
	25.	The asset management plan (AMP) and Long Term Financial Forecast (LTFF) align with the LGIP projections of growth and demand. (If not, what process is underway to achieve this?)	YES	The planning for the LGIP is based on Councils long term asset management plans and financial assumptions.				
	Priority infrastructure area (PIA)	26.	The drafting of the PIA section is consistent with the LGIP template.	YES				
27.		Text references to PIA map(s) are correct.	YES					
28.		The PIA boundary shown on the PIA map is legible at a lot level and the planning scheme zoning is also shown on the map.	YES					
29.		The PIA includes all areas of existing urban development serviced by all relevant trunk infrastructure networks at the time the LGIP was prepared.	YES					
30.		The PIA accommodates growth for at least 10 years but no more than 15 years.	YES					
31.		The PIA achieves an efficient, sequential pattern of development.	YES					
32.		If there is an area outside the PIA that the planning assumptions show is needed for urban growth in the next 10 to 15 years, why has the area been excluded from the PIA?	N/A	No growth is planned or envisaged outside the PIA in the next 10-15 years.				
	33.	The drafting of the DSS section is consistent with the LGIP template.	YES					

Desired standards of service (DSS)	34.	The DSS section states the key planning and design standards for each network.	YES					
	35.	The DSS reflects the key, high level industry standards, regulations and codes, and planning scheme policies about infrastructure.	YES					
	36.	There is alignment between the relevant levels of service stated in the local government's AMP and the LGIP. (If not, what process is underway to achieve this?)	YES	The DSS are based on Council technical and service requirements in long term infrastructure planning documents.				
Plans for trunk infrastructure (PFTI) – structure and text	37.	The drafting of the PFTI section is consistent with the LGIP template.	YES					
	38.	PFTI maps are identified for all networks listed in the Preliminary section.	YES	All PFTI are included on the relevant network maps, however it is noted that no future works for stormwater are planned.				
	39.	PFTI schedule of works summary tables for future infrastructure are included for all networks listed in the Preliminary section.	YES	All PFTI are included in the relevant network summary tables, however it is noted that no future works for stormwater are planned.				
PFTI – Maps <i>[Add rows to the checklist to address these items for each of the networks]</i>	40.	The maps clearly differentiate between existing and future trunk infrastructure networks.	YES					
	41.	The service catchments referenced in the schedule of works (SOW) model and infrastructure demand summary tables are shown clearly on the maps.	YES					
	42.	Future trunk infrastructure components are identified (at summary project level) clearly on the maps including a legible map reference.	YES	All PFTI are included on the relevant network maps, however it is noted that no future works for stormwater are planned and as such are not included on the mapping.				
	43.	The infrastructure map reference is shown in the SOW model and summary schedule of works table in the LGIP.	YES					
Schedules of works <i>[Add rows to the checklist to address these items for each of the networks]</i>	44.	The schedule of works tables in the LGIP comply with the LGIP template.	YES					
	45.	The identified trunk infrastructure is consistent with the <i>Planning Act 2016</i> and the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.	YES					
	46.	The existing and future trunk infrastructure identified in the LGIP is adequate to service at least the area of the PIA.	YES	The future trunk infrastructure identified in the SoW is based on available network planning documents.				
	47.	Future urban areas outside the PIA and the demand that will be generated at ultimate development for the relevant network catchments have been considered when determining the trunk infrastructure included in the SOW model.	YES					
	48.	There is alignment of the scope, estimated cost and planned timing of proposed trunk capital works contained in the SOW model	YES					

			and the relevant inputs of the AMP and LTFF. (If not, what process is underway to achieve this?)						
		49.	The cost of trunk infrastructure identified in the SOW model and schedule of work tables is consistent with legislative requirements.	YES					
	SOW model	50.	The submitted SOW model is consistent with the SOW model included in the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.	YES	The SoW model is the template model referenced in the MGR.				
		51.	The SOW model has been prepared and populated consistent with the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.	YES					
		52.	Project owner's cost and contingency values in the SOW model do not exceed the ranges outlined in the Minister's Guidelines and Rules.	YES	On-costs and contingencies have been brought forward from the earlier LGIP.				
		53.	Infrastructure items included in the SOW model, SOW tables and the PFTI maps are consistent.	YES					
	Extrinsic material	54.	All relevant material including background studies, reports and supporting information that informed the preparation of the proposed LGIP is available and identified in the list of extrinsic material.	YES	Extrinsic material used in the preparation of the previous LGIP remains relevant, given this interim amendment was limited to alignment of the LGIP with the new planning scheme only.				
		55.	The extrinsic material explains the methodology and inter-relationships between the components and assumptions of the LGIP.	YES	The current LGIP was prepared to be consistent with the Torres Shire Council IPA Planning Scheme (17 July 2007) ('the current planning scheme'). The current LGIP was prepared only recently, being adopted for the purposes of public notification in July 2019. However, a new planning scheme has now been prepared for the Torres Shire Council (currently approaching completion for public notification as of August 2020), and as such the current LGIP will require updating to reflect the changes to zoning and land use intent provided for under the new planning scheme. Given that the current LGIP has only recently been prepared, much of the data used in its preparation remains fit for purpose, and the required updating is				

				limited to the extent of ensuring alignment with the new scheme.					
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--